

I find it difficult
to reconcile
Sinclair
Broadcasting's
decision to force
their stations to
air an anti-Kerry
documentary with
each station's
obligation to serve
the public interest.
(This, of course,
rests on my
presumption--that
could be shown to be
false upon viewing
the show--that
rather than being a
documentary as
claimed, the show is
straightforward
political
advertising/propaganda.
)

In addition, I am
struck by this
startling proposal
of Sinclair's how
dangerous media
consolidation really
is. Instead of
something produced
at "News Central"
far away, it's more
important that we
see real people from
our own communities
and more substantive
news about issues
that matter.

Sinclair's actions
show why we need to
strengthen media
ownership rules, not
weaken them. They
show why the license
renewal process
needs to involve
more than a returned
postcard. Thank you.